REGULATION

PERSONNEL Revised 29 November 1956 MA

COMPETITIVE PROMOTION

SYNOPSIS: This regulation establishes a competitive promotion program within Career Service areas, characterized by periodic evaluation by panels.

25X1A

Rescissions:

(1) dated 9 October 1954 (2) dated 30 April 1954

CONTENTS

	Page
GENERAL	1
POLICY	1
DEFINITIONS	2
RESPONSIBILITIES	3
PROMOTION REQUIREMENTS	. 3
PROCEDURES	4
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMPETITIVE	_
PROMOTION PROGRAM	5
EXCEPTIONS TO AGENCY EXPERIENCE	_
REQUIREMENTS	. 5

1. GENERAL

This regulation states policy and procedures which apply to the promotion of employees (staff employees and staff agents) to grades GS-15 and below. It does not apply to the promotion of employees to grades GS-16, 17, and 18 (see nor to the promotion of employees who will occupy positions compensated in accordance with Wage Administration Schedules.

25X1A

2. POLICY

- a. The Agency Table of Organization (T/O) will be staffed by the assignment of qualified personnel to the positions in which they are most needed, with due consideration given to preferences of employees. To maintain authorized staffing levels for Agency positions, qualified employees will be advanced on the basis of competitive evaluation of their performance, qualifications, length of service, acceptance of Career Staff obligations, and value to the Agency. Promotions and recruitment will be administered so that the composition of Agency personnel by grade level and occupational fields will not exceed the authorized staffing.
- b. In general, each Career Service will comprise the competitive promotion area for promotion for members of that service. However, the Head of a Career Service will establish separate areas of competition within the service when necessary because of substantial differences in occupational or functional lines of work performed by its personnel.
- Competitive evaluation of employees in grades GS-7 through GS-14 for promotion will be accomplished through the establishment and use by Heads of Career Services of Competitive Evaluation Panels in each Career Service. Panel recommendations for promotions will be advisory to the Head of the Career Service, who will make final recommendations to the Director of Personnel. Panel consideration will not be required in the administration of promotions of personnel in grades GS-6 and below, but the basic principle of competitive promotion expressed in 2a above will be followed.
- d. Personnel in the zone of consideration will be considered for promotion by the Head of their Career Service at least once each year.

- Centh Budget Francis 6 Panels Cotablished

000

REV DATE 2.9/0

Z BY 01899 5

DP80.01341A00010030007L08 4

1

25X1A

25X1A

REGULATION

PERSONNEL Revised 29 November 1956

- c. Promotions will be of one-grade increments except where double-grade stages have been established for progression within the grade range GS-5 through GS-11.
- f. Normally, individuals will occupy positions which correspond in grade to their grade after promotion. However, they may occupy positions higher in grade than their grade after promotion, when necessary; or they may be promoted under competitive evaluation procedures and occupy a position lower in grade than their grade after promotion when it is necessary in the best interests of the Agency that they be retained in or assigned to such a position in a personal rank assignment status. A personal rank assignment will not be authorized if the action would result in the grade structure of personnel in the Career Service exceeding the grade structure of positions which the Career Service is responsible for staffing (Career Service Staffing Authorization) in the competitive promotion area concerned.

3. **DEFINITIONS**

a. PERSONAL RANK ASSIGNMENT

A personal rank assignment is an assignment in which the grade of an employee is authorized to exceed the grade of the position encumbered. Personal rank assignments are approved by the Director of Personnel upon certification by the Head of the employee's Career Service and Operating Official concerned that they are necessary in the best interests of the Agency for one or more of the following reasons:

- (1) To enable an employee to be competitively promoted and complete a specific tour or assignment in a position of grade lower than his grade after promotion.
- (2) An employee is the only qualified individual available for assignment to a position which must be filled immediately.
- (3) The employee possesses such unusual background, contacts, or qualifications for a particular position that his assignment to that position is essential to an Agency program.
- (4) An employee's service record and qualifications clearly predict satisfactory performance in a position of his grade, and staffing plans provide for the filling of such a position in the near future, but no appropriate assignment of proper grade is currently available.
- (5) To permit an employee to obtain specific experience essential to the planned future utilization of his services.

Under any of these circumstances, personal rank assignments will be for a specified maximum period of time, as agreed to by the Director of Personnel, Head of the Career Service, and Operating Officials concerned.

b. CAREER SERVICE STAFFING AUTHORIZATION (CSSA)

A Career Service Staffing Authorization is the number of positions by grade levels and occupations which a Career Service is responsible for staffing. In the absence of designation of T/O positions according to Career Service jurisdiction, the Career Service Staffing Authorization will be approximated by tabulating the grades of all positions encumbered by members of the Career Service in each competitive promotion area and adjusting to provide for vacant positions to be filled during the period.

c. SENIOR SUPERVISORS

For purposes of this regulation, the term Senior Supervisors will be used to designate the heads of the operating echelons in which employees under consideration for promotion are located. Senior Supervisors generally will be

Approved For Release 2001/04/95 TOLARDP80-94341A000100030007-0

25X1A

25X1A

REGULATION

PERSONNEL Revised 29 November 1956

Divisions and Senior Staffs in the Office of the DD/P; or comparable officials as agreed to by the Head of the Career Service and the Deputy Director concerned.

d. CAREER SERVICES, HEADS OF CAREER SERVICES

The Career Services and the Head of each Service are designated in

25X1A

4. RESPONSIBILITIES

a. SUPERVISORS

Supervisors at all levels are responsible for evaluating the merit for promotion of employees under their jurisdiction and for making recommendations to the Heads of appropriate Career Services, through command channels, concerning the promotion of such employees according to the provisions of this regulation. Such recommendations also will conform to the provisions of paragraph 5b, (Tentative), Employment Policy and Procedure.

25X1A

b. HEADS OF CAREER SERVICES

The Head of each Career Service is responsible for:

- (1) Recommending promotions to the Director of Personnel based upon competitive evaluation of employees' merit for promotion in relation to the staffing requirements for the Career Service and after due consideration of recommendations from Competitive Evaluation Panels, Career Boards, and Senior Supervisors.
- (2) Determining competitive promotion areas within the Career Service.
 - (3) Establishing the number of Competitive Evaluation Panels considered necessary and defining the jurisdiction of each panel as to grade levels and competitive promotion areas of personnel to be evaluated.
 - (4) Appointing the membership of each Competitive Evaluation Panel.
 - (5) Ensuring that the composition of the membership of his Career Service by grade level and occupational fields does not exceed the staffing authorization of the service (CSSA).

c. DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL

The Director of Personnel is responsible for:

- (1) Ensuring compliance with the regulation by continuous evaluation of the Agency's promotion program.
- (2) Reviewing all promotion requests and finally approving promotion actions which conform to the provisions of this regulation including the approval of personal rank assignments when required by a promotion request.
- (3) Recording and disseminating the qualification requirements of all Agency positions to be used as a basis for reviewing promotion requests.
- (4) Providing periodic listings to Heads of Career Services of personnel in the zone of consideration for promotion and periodic reports on the status of utilization of the Career Service Staffing Authorization (CSSA).

5. PROMOTION REQUIREMENTS

a. AGENCY EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS

An employee will enter the zone of consideration for promotion when he has served in his current grade in the Agency for the period indicated below:

Current Grade	Months of CIA Experience
GS-1 through GS-6	6
GS-7 through GS-11	12
GS-12 and GS-13	18
GS-14	24

Approved For Release 2001 (04/05 : 6) A RDP80-01641 A000100030007-0

25X1A

25X1A

REGULATION

PERSONNEL Revised 29 November 1956

b. QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

An employee must be qualified to perform the duties of a higher grade position to which his promotion is recommended. If an employee is being promoted and retained in a personal rank assignment in a lower grade position, he must be qualified to perform work in the occupational category and grade level to which he is promoted. When an employee is being considered for promotion to a position for which qualification requirements are prescribed in Handbook No.

these requirements will be used as the basis for evaluating his qualifi-

25X1A

6. PROCEDURES

a. COMPETITIVE EVALUATION PANELS

- (1) In consideration of the number of personnel to be evaluated for promotion by grade levels and competitive promotion areas, the Head of each Career Service will appoint Competitive Evaluation Panels and define the grade levels of personnel and the competitive promotion areas to be under the jurisdiction of each panel.
- (2) Each panel will consist of a minimum of three voting members of the Career Service concerned who will accomplish the evaluation of the groups of personnel designated. Panel members must be senior in grade to employees to be evaluated and will serve for the period of time required to complete the evaluation of the groups of designated personnel. Career Boards and their panels may be used as Competitive Evaluation Panels if desired by the Head of a Career Service and providing their membership meets the requirements stated above.
- (3) Competitive Evaluation Panels will utilize Guide for Competitive Evaluation Panels, as an advisory guidebook in order to obtain reasonable uniformity in panel operations within and among Career Services
- (4) Upon completion of competitive evaluation of each grade level and competitive promotion area, the panel concerned will provide the Head of the Career Service with a listing in order of merit for promotion of the individuals recommended by the panel for promotion.

b. SENIOR SUPERVISORS

- (1) Upon request of the Head of a Career Service, Senior Supervisors will submit to him for each grade level a list of their employees in that Career Service and in the zone of consideration whom they recommend for promotion. Whenever practical, Senior Supervisors will list the recommended employees in the order of preference for promotion. This listing will be provided to the appropriate Competitive Evaluation Panel for its use.
- (2) Whenever a Senior Supervisor considers immediate promotion action is desirable to recognize outstanding ability and performance, he may submit an individual promotion recommendation to the Head of the appropriate Career Service, without waiting for the request from the Head of that Career Service for promotion nominations for panel evaluation of employees in the grade level.
- (3) Senior Supervisors will submit promotion recommendations for personnel in grades GS-6 and below when promotion is considered to be merited on the basis of performance, qualifications, and length of service as compared with other personnel under their jurisdiction and in the same competitive promotion area.

c. HEADS OF CAREER SERVICES

(1) In consideration of rank order listings proposed by the Competitive Evaluation Panels, Heads of Career Services will make final determinations as to employees in grades GS-7 through GS-14 to be recommended to the Director of Personnel for promotion.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

REGULATION

PERSONNEL Revised 29 November 1956

- (2) Heads of Career Services will initiate promotions for personnel in grades GS-6 and below in consideration of recommendations from Senior Supervisors and on the basis of performance, qualifications, and length of service of the individuals in comparison to other personnel in the competitive promotion area.
- (3) Heads of Career Services will ensure that employees recommended for promotion are to be utilized in a position of proper grade upon their promotion or upon completion of a personal rank assignment authorized as specified in paragraph 3a of this regulation. They will also ensure that the grade and occupational structure of personnel in the Career Service will not exceed the Career Staffing Authorization as a result of promotion actions recommended. Prior to releasing promotion requests, they will inform the appropriate Senior Supervisors of the names of employees proposed for promotion and give due consideration to a request from a Senior Supervisor as to the timing of the action or that a promotion be withheld.
- (4) Heads of Career Services will submit promotion recommendations to the Director of Personnel on SF-52, Request for Personnel Action, in accordance with instructions of Promotion requests requiring personal rank assignments will include a statement from the Operating Official, with concurrence from the Head of the Career Service concerned, of the reason for the use of this type of assignment and the probable duration.

7. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMPETITIVE PROMOTION PROGRAM

Heads of Career Services are authorized to implement the program in gradual stages of one grade level of personnel at a time, thereby continuing previous promotion procedures for levels of personnel on which Competitive Evaluation Panel action has not been taken. The program, however, should be fully installed by 1 January 1958.

8. EXCEPTIONS TO AGENCY EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS

Exceptions to the Agency Experience Requirements for promotion to any grade will be approved or disapproved by the Director of Personnel upon submission of justification by the Head of a Career Service. Exceptions will be made only when it is clearly established that:

- a. An employee was initially employed at a grade below that for which he was qualified; or
- b. An individual is properly qualified for promotion based partly on his experience prior to his entry on duty; or
- Such exception is necessary to recognize and utilize an employee's outstanding ability.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE:

L. K. WHITE Deputy Director (Support)

DISTRIBUTION: AB

COMPTROLLER
FEB 27 9 19 AN '57

25X1A

Derty da son

GUIDE FOR COMPETITIVE EVALUATION PANELS

29 November 1956

DISTRIBUTION: A plus SPECIAL

r a ·	HEY DATE 2 9/04	SI sy 01899	5
	- (i) /s		Ц
1 22	20 20	ADTE: H	A 75-2



PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

GUIDE FOR COMPETITIVE EVALUATION PANELS

FOREWORD

This handbook is for the guidance of Agency personnel who are appointed by Heads of Career Services to serve on Competitive Evaluation Panels.

The information presented, however, should be of equal interest to all supervisors who will participate in the competitive evaluation process and who will, in the final analysis, be largely responsible for its effectiveness.

The guidelines and procedures presented are intended to be advisory in nature rather than regulatory. It is realized that variances in the composition of Career Services and the dispersion, geographically and occupationally, of their personnel will require the development by the Career Services of internal operating procedures to fit their needs. However, the use of this handbook to set the frame of reference for the operations of Competitive Evaluation Panels of all Career Services will provide a desirable degree of Agencywide uniformity in promotion administration.

25X1A

Policy and procedures on the competitive promotion program are set forth in Competitive Promotion.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE:

L. K. WHITE Deputy Director (Support)

DISTRIBUTION: A plus SPECIAL



PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

GUIDE FOR COMPETITIVE PROMOTION PANELS

CONTENTS

	Ε	age
I. INTRODUCTION TO THE COMPETITIVE		
PROMOTION PROGRAM		
II. THE COMPETITIVE PROMOTION PROCESS		2
III. SUPPORT PROVIDED TO COMPETITIVE		
EVALUATION PANELS		6

I. INTRODUCTION TO THE COMPETITIVE PROMOTION PROGRAM

High esprit de corps of Agency Personnel depends to a considerable degree upon the achievement of a fair and effective promotion system which will earn and retain the respect of all members of the Agency. The Agency has adopted the competitive evaluation promotion policy with the aim of ensuring maximum equity, objectivity, and impartiality in promotions. This program is based to some extent upon characteristics of the various promotion systems in the Foreign Service Corps of the Department of State, private business, and the Military Services, but is specifically designed to meet Agency objectives of building and maintaining a Career Staff of high caliber. Its chief characteristics are:

A. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT:

Appraisal of the employee's value to the Agency in terms of the quantity and quality of the work he has performed; his conduct, skllls and personality; and his potential for future assignments. A conscientious effort is made to record, by means of the Biographic Profile and Fitness Report, complete, factual, and objective information regarding each individual.

B. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR ADVANCEMENT WITHIN A CAREER SERVICE:

Every employee eligible for promotion is evaluated (1) annually, (2) in comparison with every other member of his Career Service who is in the same grade and occupational category, and (3) in the case of personnel in grades GS-7 through GS-14, by an impartial Competitive Evaluation Panel. This system differs from the usual civil service practice where promotion depends rigidly upon the grade of the job to which the individual is assigned.

C. GROUP JUDGMENT:

The relative standing of the person rated depends on group judgment. The Fitness Report contains the opinions of individual supervisors and reviewing officers; the annual competitive rating represents the consensus of a group of experienced senior members of the employee's Career Service with respect to the employee's merit for promotion in comparison with his contemporaries. The objective is to make the promotion system genuinely free from favoritism and bias.

D. SUFFICIENT SERVICE IN GRADE TO PROVIDE A BASIS FOR EVALUATION:

The promotion system requires that personnel serve long enough in each grade to provide a basis for a thorough evaluation of their performance at the grade level. This is accomplished by establishing minimum periods of service in each grade during which personnel are not in the zone of consideration for promotion.

E. RECOGNITION OF MERIT BY ADVANCEMENT:

In each grade, the normal practice will be to promote those who are ranked highest by the Competitive Evaluation Panel, provided their qualifications

Approved For Release 2001/04/05€€CIA-RDP80-

25X1A

25X1A HANDBOOK

PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

0400030007-0

can be utilized to advantage at the next higher grade level. In other words, the available promotions will go to those found by the panels and Heads of Career Services to be the most competent and valuable to CIA.

II. THE COMPETITIVE PROMOTION PROCESS

A. FUNCTION AND COMPOSITION OF COMPETITIVE EVALUATION PANELS:

Panel Competitive Evaluation of all eligible employees on the basis of their performance, qualifications, acceptance of Career Staff obligations, length of service, and value to the Agency is the most critical element in the selection of personnel for promotion. The product of panel action will consist of a list of employees ranked in order of merit for promotion for the use of the Head of the Career Service in making final promotion recommendations. The list will include the employees the panel considers at the time of panel action to merit promotion within the numerical limitation which may be prescribed by the Head of the Career Service. Panels will be comprised of a minimum of three members of the Career Service concerned, senior in grade to employees being evaluated, and appointed by the Head of the Career Service to serve for the period required to evaluate all eligible employees in the grade groups and competitive promotion area(s) assigned.

B. INFORMATION USED BY PANELS IN EVALUATING EMPLOYEES:

- 1. Listing of all personnel in the zone of consideration for promotion in the grade level to be evaluated.
- 2. Listing of personnel recommended for promotion (in order of preference whenever practical) by Senior Supervisors.
- 3. An indication from the Head of the Career Service, if he desires, of the number of personnel to be on the panel's rank order list. (The Head of the Career Service may predetermine the approximate maximum number of promotions to be made at each grade level on the basis of guidelines, such as past promotion practice and the status of staffing of the Career Service. Rather than reveal the maximum figures, he may direct the panel to provide a rank order listing for each grade level which will contain a somewhat larger number of names. This will provide for the likelihood that some individuals on the panel's rank order listing will not be promoted for the reason that their qualifications are not utilizable currently at the higher grade and the use of a personal rank assignment is not considered appropriate.)
- 4. Form No. 1080, Biographic Profile (Figure 1), or an equivalent summary of service and performance of each employee in the zone of consideration. The Official Personnel Folder is also available to the panel if needed.
- 5. Personal interview, whenever feasible, of employees their supervisors, or others having knowledge of the employees being evaluated. The importance of interviews of persons in grades GS-i2 and above particularly is stressed.

C. SIGNIFICANT FACTORS FOR PANEL CONSIDERATION IN EVALUATING EMPLOYEES:

- 1. Performance (Productivity, Quality, and Level of Job Performed)
 - a. One of the chief considerations in competitively evaluating employees is the performance factor, i.e., how well the job has been performed and the quality and quantity of work done. In the interest of rendering maximum equity in judging this factor, panel members should bear in mind the level of the job performed by employees

HANDBOOK

25X1A

PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

they evaluate. Otherwise, it may happen that an employee performing work of a lower level than his current grade will perform exceptionally well and be rated unduly high, whereas an employee performing work of a higher level than his current grade may be rated too low. Thus, Fitness Reports and comparable ratings become more meaningful when considered in relation to the characteristics and level of the job performed.

- b. In addition to past and current performance, the potentiality the individual has demonstrated for the performance of more difficult and responsible duties in the future is very important. Fitness Report ratings on this factor and records of performance of assignments of higher grade level should be considered carefully.
- c. A common criticism of promotion panel systems is that they tend to make employees reluctant to take initiative, but instead individuals may be inclined to conform entirely to prevailing opinion. Since this tendency would jeopardize the merit system and the effectiveness of the Agency staff, every effort must be made to give due credit to employees who have shown themselves capable of sound independent judgment, creative work, self-reliance, and the acceptance of unusual responsibility. If the initiative of an employee has led to some difficulty, he should not be severely judged because a calculated risk did not work out if the attempted line of action was worthwhile. Similarly, panel members should be wary of employees who restrict their output in order to concentrate on a few work products for the purpose of receiving special commendations.
- d. Extra care should be taken to determine the merit for promotion of employees whose duties give them opportunity for comparatively little substantive output which can be readily evaluated, or whose duties and performance cannot, for security reasons, be completely documented. Similarly, employees engaged in training assignments must be given full consideration for their efforts in the light of their reported accomplishments during such training.
- e. In evaluating the performance of employees performing executive or supervisory duties, it is stressed that principal consideration should be given to the demonstration of management skills. Of importance are the demonstrated abilities of an executive or supervisor to plan and organize his unit, establish sound policies, train and supervise employees, achieve efficient operation, and accomplish the required objectives.

2. Acceptance of Career Staff Obligations

A factor which should be given considerable weight is the willingness of the employee to meet his Career Staff obligations. This may be exemplified by acceptance of assignments to isolated or hardship posts, undertaking of specialized training which may lead to protracted periods of service in difficult or unpleasant areas of the world and, in general, by a demonstrated willingness to put the needs of the Agency before personal preference or convenience.

3. Value of Employee to Agency

Developing and retaining the required number of qualified personnel in all lines of work are problems which all Career Services must face. The major objectives of the Agency Career Program include providing Career Staff Members with a broader base of training and experience to increase their versatility and assignment potential as well as developing employees in highly specialized fields. In competitively evaluating employees for promotion, consideration should be given to the present value of the employee to the Agency, as well as his potential usefulness. Of pertinence to the value of an employee to the Agency is a



PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

consideration of possession of scarce occupational skills and experience which are difficult to replace and which may have required arduous training or unpleasant assignments for their acquisition.

4. Length of Service

Length of service in a grade level (beyond the minimum time requirement) shall be a factor in the evaluation of an employee's record in that promotion must be earned by meritorious performance over a period of time sufficient for appraisal. Agency experience at lower grade levels as well as experience gained prior to entry into CIA should be evaluated on the basis of their applicability to an Agency career. Notwithstanding the usual length of service requirements, it is important to keep in mind that no employee should be rated lower than his performance merits simply because of the recency of his last promotion. To do so might penalize unusual efforts and talents as well as enhance the chances for promotion of those whose principal claim is that of seniority.

5. Qualifications (Education, Experience, Training, Personal Characteristics)

The degree to which an employee exceeds the minimum standards of experience, training, and education is significant to his evaluation, providing the experience, training, and education is of demonstrated usefulness in connection with the employee's work and probable future utilization. Personal characteristics should similarly be evaluated in terms of their application to current and probable future assignments.

6. Other Considerations

- a. Panels must ensure that individuals currently on assignments overseas or otherwise outside headquarters are given equal consideration for promotion and are not penalized by their nonavailability for interview nor by the problems of current documentation of performance.
- b. In evaluating employees whose records reflect adverse reports or criticisms, care should be taken to determine whether the adverse material has been out-dated by more recent favorable performance. Giving undue weight to past reports of defects which an employee has corrected may create an unjust permanent handicap to his career. Thus, panels must be as sensitive to records reflecting improved performance as they are to those which reflect deterioration.
- c. Panel members' personal knowledge of an individual should not be given undue weight. However, if a panel member, through personal experience or otherwise, is cognizant of pertinent information not in the records, he shall make that information available to the panel for investigation and consideration. A panel member should disqualify himself from ranking any candidate whom he may feel he cannot objectively evaluate due to close association or strong personal feelings.
- d. Whenever competitive evaluation establishes employees' ratings as essentially equal, it is appropriate that panel members give added weight to consideration of age, length of qualifying experience, and general background.

D. SUGGESTED STEPS IN COMPETITIVE RANKING BY PANELS:

- Names of all eligible employees recommended for promotion by Senior Supervisors will be provided to the panel by the Head of the Career Service.
- 2. The records (Biographic Profile or equivalent) of the above employees will be preliminarily reviewed by panel members for familiarization.

Approved For Release 2001/04/05 STARDP80-0434

25X1A

25X1A



PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

- 3. The records (Biographic Profile or equivalent) of all other eligible employees in the competitive promotion area will be reviewed. From this group, the names of any other employees considered by the panel to warrant final ranking for promotion will be selected.
- 4. The names of employees recommended for promotion by Senior Supervisors together with the names of other eligibles selected by the panel will be considered for panel ranking. Whenever feasible, interviews will be conducted with these employees, their supervisors, and others having knowledge of their work. This step is particularly desirable in the consideration of employees in grades GS-12 and above.
- 5. Each panel member will individually rank all employees (selected as above) after completion of the review of Biographic Profiles or equivalent summary of service and performance, and the completion of panel discussions or interviews.
- 6. Upon review of the individual rankings, any wide discrepancies in panel member rankings on any employee will be discussed and more information obtained if necessary. Thereafter, panel members may adjust their individual rankings.
- 7. The final ranking list will be computed as a composite of individual rankings with equal weight being given to each panel member's judgment. The individual rankings for each employee will be totalled, and the final ranking will be determined by listing the employee with the lowest total of ranking points first, the employee with the second lowest total of ranking points, second, etc. If a panel member disqualifies himself on ranking an individual, the rankings of the other members will be given proportionately more weight in the mathematical computation.

EXAMPLE

RANKING ORDER COMPUTATION (EMPLOYEES a, b, e, d, e)

EMPLOYEE RANKING BY PANEL MEMBERS

Rank	Panel Member 1	Panel Member 2	Panel Member 3
1	a	\mathbf{c}	a
2	c	b	b
3	$\cdot \mathbf{e}$	a	c
4	b	d	e
5	d	е .	d

DETERMINATION OF FINAL RANK ORDER OF EMPLOYEES

Final Ranking	Employees	Total Points
1	a	5
2	c	6
3	b	8
4	e	12
5	đ	14

8. If the final list exceeds the maximum number of candidates for promotion requested by the Head of the Career Service, the list will be adjusted accordingly and forwarded to the Head of the Career Service for his use.

HANDBOOK

PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

III. SUPPORT PROVIDED TO COMPETITIVE EVALUATION PANELS

A. BY OFFICE OF PERSONNEL:

The Office of Personnel will be responsible for the program of preparing accurate Biographic Profiles of personnel to be evaluated. Due to the volume of work involved, participation by Personnel Officers, Career Management Officers, and employees in this program will be necessary. Additionally, the Office of Personnel will provide clarification on promotion procedures, and furnish listings of personnel in the zone of consideration, qualification requirements for specific positions or lines of work, and position evaluation information.

B. BY CAREER MANAGEMENT OFFICERS OF THE CAREER SERVICE:

Important responsibilities of Career Management Officers will be the provision of secretariat support to Competitive Evaluation Panels, the obtaining of information concerning employees being evaluated before or during panel considerations, and serving as a point of liaison between the panel and Senior Supervisors.

C. BY PERSONNEL OFFICERS AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS IN OPERATING OFFICES:

Personnel Officers and Administrative Officers will be responsible for ensuring that lists of personnel recommended for promotion by Senior Supervisors are provided to the panels and will assist Senior Supervisors in this regard. They will participate with the Office of Personnel in developing Biographic Profiles or the equivalent for panel use.

Approved For Retease 2001/04/05 : CIA-RDP80-0124 AUGUSTON TO PERSONNEL 29 November 1956

25X1A

						(₩he	SEUROI n Filled In))					
						BIOGRA	PHIC PROF	ILE					
			st-Middle)				2. SEX	3.0/	ATE OF BIRTH	4. LONGEV	ITY COMP.	OATE	5. 50
6.	MARITAL ST	ATUS	7. DEPENDENTS (Exclud. employee)	N UM B	BER YE	ARS(S) 0	F BIRTH	<u> </u>		8. U.S. N (If ap)	ATURALIZA propriate	TION I	DATE
9.	CAREER STAFF STATUS	\triangleright	EFFECTIVE DATE		INELIGI		DECLINED	10.	DATE OF LAS	T MED. RP	T. EVAL. F	OR Q	UAL. FOR
11.			NONAPPLICA	1	RANK	<u></u>	DEATED	R	EADY - CAT	1 READY	· CAT 2	STANO	BY . CAT
12	STATUS		RETIREO		SERVICE								-
	AS SESSMEN						GE APTITUOE			POSITION	DE OF	RED D	>
	,		ENCE (Including)				p110	1 10	EOD, and al in item 19)	I trainin	g since E	OD exc	ept that
							18.		FOREIGN L	ANGUAGE A	BILITIES		
							LAN	GUAGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COUIRED	PROFICI	ENCY	TESTEO
20.	CIA EMPLOY		D TRAINING HISTORY SINCE 18 LS FOR LAST 10 Y	SEPT	1947 (SF- 50 & A	Hilitery Ord	fers)	FIRST ASSI	GNMENT AN	O ALL ASS	I GNMEN	ITS AND
			TION TITLE & OCC			OE GRADE	DRGANIZAT	TION	& ORGAN. TIT	ı (If anv	3 1	LOCAT	
												23641	
ORM	NO. 1080						CRET						
) DE	56						-UKEI						(4)

Approved For Release 2001/04/05 : CIA-RDP80-01341A000100030007-0 29 November 1956

		ECRET	T .				
ME (Last-First-Middle)	(When	Filled	In)		DATE OF	BIRTH	
NE (Pastorillor-minor-y)							
	EVAL	UATIVE O	ATA				
FITNESS REPORTS		,					TING A DATES
RM NO. 45. 1 NOV 55 EDITION DR LATER	LAST RATING - DATES DF PERIOD COVERED	RATING	OF PERIOD CDV		RATING		TING . DATES IDD COVEREO
ERFORMANCE - SEC. C							
UITABILITY - SEC. D		ļ				 	
OTENTIAL - SEC. G-1		ND LCATE	FACTORS THAT SHO	DULD BE R	EVIEWED	IN DETAI	IL?
ARE THERE REMARKS ON THE F NO YES (Give date SUMMARIES OF DITHER EVALUAT							
2. SUMMARY OF CAREER PREFEREN 2. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (C. Letters, Disciplinary Act.	ommendations, Honor and						Warning
			•				
4. DATE PREPARED 25. PRDFIL	E PREPARED BY (Typed na	me and s	(gnature)	26.	FENC : 0	0	YES
4. DATE PREPARED 25. PROFIL	TE THE AREA OF C. 7.			REVIEW	TEMS 1-2	RIFIED [DATE
				81	' EMPLDY	EE	NO

SECRET

COMPTROLLER
FEB 75 839